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#### Abstract

A concise first total synthesis of ( $\pm$ ) maoecrystal V (1) is reported. The synthesis features a Wessely oxidative dearomatization of a phenol, an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction, and a Rh-catalyzed $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond insertion as key steps.


Maoecrystal V (1, Scheme 1), which was isolated in 2004 by Sun and co-workers from the leaves of a Chinese medicinal herb called Isodon eriocalyx, is a novel $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ diterpenoid ${ }^{1}$ and displays potent and selective inhibitory activity against HeLa cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=$ 60 nM ). Maoecrystal V possesses an unprecedented and highly congested pentacyclic framework with six stereocenters, among which three are vicinal quaternary stereocenters. This structure has been confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

Given its fascinating structure and distinguished biological activity, maoecrystal $V(\mathbf{1})$ attracted the attention of synthetic chemists worldwide. ${ }^{2}$ Herein we report the successful development of a strategy that has enabled completion of the first total synthesis of maoecrystal V.

Previous investigations from our laboratories ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ have revealed that Wessely oxidative dearomatization of a phenol ${ }^{3}$ and a subsequent intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction (IMDA) ${ }^{4}$ is an efficient method for the construction of the highly strained core of maoecrystal V. In our effort to pursue the total synthesis of maoecrystal V, we endeavored to adopt the above-mentioned strategy to construct 2 from 3 (Figure 1), a process in which two vicinal quaternary stereocenters and a three-rigid-ring system would directly arise in an IMDA reaction. We also envisaged that $\mathbf{3}$ could be prepared by a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons ${ }^{5}$ reaction from 4, and $\mathbf{4}$ could be assembled from 5 through metal-catalyzed $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond insertion. ${ }^{6}$ We further expected that the intermediate $\mathbf{5}$ could be derived from diol 6 by reaction with 2-(diethoxy-phosphoryl) acetic acid in the presence of condensation agents.

Our synthesis began with the preparation of diol 6 (Scheme 1). Ester 8, which was made from 2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-enone 7 and dimethyl carbonate, ${ }^{7}$ was subjected to an oxidative arylation to install the C-10 quaternary carbon by reaction with 2-(meth-oxymethoxy)-3-methylphenyl)triacetoxyplumbane 9 , affording $\beta$-ketoester $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $88 \%$ yield.

The synthesis of cis-diol 6a was initially investigated by direct treatment of $\beta$-ketoester $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ and DIBAL-H, respectively. However, the opposite diastereoselectivities were obtained in both cases, yielding almost a 1:6 ratio of cis-diol 6a and antidiol $\mathbf{6 b}$. We then elected to apply a stepwise strategy to generate 6a. To this end, $\beta$-ketoester 10 was first treated with reducing agents, such as organoboranes, ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{NaBH}_{4} /$ Lewis acid, ${ }^{8,9 b, c}$ and

[^0]Scheme 1. Syntheses of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}$, and $\mathbf{2 c}{ }^{\text {a }}$



2b ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}$ )



## X-ray structure of 2c

${ }^{a}$ Reagent and conditions: (a) dimethyl carbonate, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}, \Delta, 92 \%$; (b) 2-(methoxymethoxy)-3-methylphenyl)triacetoxy-plumbane 9 , pyridine, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 88 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, \mathbf{6 a}$ (12\%) and 6b (72\%); (d) $\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{BH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 65 \%$ ( $89 \%$ brsm); (e) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 88 \%$; (f) 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acetic acid, EDCI, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $82 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{TsN}_{3}, \mathrm{DBU}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 81 \%$; (h) $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{PhH}, \Delta, 60 \%$; (i) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK},(\mathrm{HCHO})_{n}$, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 95 \%$; (j) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $90 \%$; (k) $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{PhMe}, 145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{2 a}(28 \%)$, 2b (12\%), and 2c (36\%).
hydrosilanes. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~d}}$ Unfortunately, the undesired isomer 11b came out as the major product in all cases. We eventually found out that treatment of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $\left(n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NBH}_{4}{ }^{10}$ in methanol effected the desired reduction to produce 11a in $65 \%$ yield as a sole isomer. We attributed this diastereoselectivity to the directing and accelerating effect of the cationic $-\pi$ interaction ${ }^{11}$ between ammonium salt $\left[\left(n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4}\right) \mathrm{NBH}_{4}\right]$ and the phenyl ring in substrate $\mathbf{1 0}$, which delivers the hydride to the ketone from its top face. Thus, after treatment


Figure 1. Synthetic analysis.
of 11a with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ in THF, the diastereselective synthesis of cisdiol 6 was eventually achieved in $88 \%$ yield.
We next shifted our attention to make precursor $\mathbf{3}$ of the proposed IMDA reaction. In that event, cis-diol 6 was coupled with 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-acetic acid in the presence of EDCI and DMAP to afford an ester in $85 \%$ yield, which was then treated with $\mathrm{TsN}_{3}$ in the presence of DBU to give the diazo ester $\mathbf{5}$ in $69 \%$ yield in two steps. Diazo ester 5 was subjected to the $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}{ }^{-}$ catalyzed $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond insertion ${ }^{12}$ to give $4(60 \%)$, which underwent consecutive Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction with paraformylaldehyde ${ }^{13}$ and deprotection of the MOM ether under acidic conditions, leading to phenol $\mathbf{3}$ in high yield. In the process of preparing the key intermediate $\mathbf{2}$, phenol $\mathbf{3}$ was subjected to the Wessely oxidative acetoxylation, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}, 3}$ affording stable $o$-quinol acetates as a pair of diastereoisomers of C16, which without purification underwent IMDA reaction in toluene at $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a separable mixture of products $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}$, and $\mathbf{2 c}$ in $28 \%, 12 \%$, and $36 \%$ yield, respectively. The structure of 2 c was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

## Scheme 2. Total Syntheses of Maoecrystal V (1) ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagent and conditions: (a) NBS, $\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, TEMPO, PhH , reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 88 \%$; (e) Lindlar cat. $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; (f) DMP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; (g) DBU, toluene, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 48 \%$ ( $90 \%$ brsm).

To complete the total synthesis, $\mathbf{2 c}$ was allowed to react with NBS in the presence of benzoyl peroxide ${ }^{14}$ to introduce a Br at C 1 (Scheme 2). This bromide was treated with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ to generate an allylic radical, which was then trapped with TEMPO ${ }^{15}$ to give $\mathbf{1 2}$ in a $68 \%$ overall yield. Regioselective reductive cleavage of tetramethylpiperidine and acetoxy groups was achieved by the sequential treatment of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{AcOH}^{15 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{SmI}_{2},{ }^{2 \mathrm{a}, 16}$ afford-
ing product $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $75 \%$ overall yield as a single stereoisomer. Regioselective hydrogenation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ in the presence of Lindlar catalyst gave 14, which was then converted to 15 in high yield by oxidation with DMP. Thus the final target maoecrystal V (1) was eventually obtained in $48 \%$ ( $90 \% \mathrm{brsm}$ ) yield by the treatment of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with DBU in toluene at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , affording a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1}$. Extension of the reaction time did not improve the conversion of $\mathbf{1 5}$ to $\mathbf{1}$. The identity of the synthesized maoecrystal $\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{1})$ was confirmed by comparison of the NMR spectral data with that of natural product maoecrystal $\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{1}) .{ }^{1}$

In summary, a concise total synthesis of maoecrystal V (1) has been achieved by employing a Wessely oxidative dearomatization, an IMDA reaction, and a Rh-catalyzed $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond insertion as key steps. The developed chemistry may find use in the synthesis of the analogue of maoecrystal V .
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